



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,769	10/25/2000	Darwin J Prockop	9598-101U2(99-0356)	4022
7590 05/10/2004 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, L.L.P 1701 Market Street			EXAMINER SHUKLA, RAM R	
Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
•			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/695,769	PROCKOP ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Ram R. Shukla	1632

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 27 CER 1.112 may only be sitted (1) a timely filed any only the sitted (1) at inch filed (1) at inch f

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	d
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fe have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee un 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	,
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	e
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: None.	
Claim(s) objected to: None.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29 and 31-36</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4/21/04.	
10.□ Other:	
RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.Dam R. Shukla, Ph.D. PRIMARY EXAMINERPRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit: 1632	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/695,769

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: It is noted that the proposed amendments add a new step of replating the cells at a certain cell number to the method of the claims 1, 12, 24, 31, 32, and 36. These amendments and would require further consideration and a new art search. Therefore, the proposed amendments would not be enetered.

It is noted that during a telephonic interview on February 26, applicants indicated that an informal proposed amendment would be faxed to the Examiner to seek Examiner's opinion about the proposed amendments, however no such fax was received by the Examiner.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As noted above, the proposed amendment would require a new art search. It is possible that the proposed amendment when entered may overcome the instant 102 rejections, however new rejections may be possible depending on the new search. Accordingly, the rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D